

# Mathematics Talent Reward Programme

Model Solutions for Class XI

## Multiple Choice Questions

[Each question has only one correct option. You will be awarded 3 marks for the correct answer, 0 marks if the question is not attempted and -1 mark for wrong answer.]

- |        |        |        |        |         |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| 1. (B) | 2. (D) | 3. (A) | 4. (C) | 5. (D)  |
| 6. (C) | 7. (A) | 8. (A) | 9. (C) | 10. (B) |

## Short Answer Type Questions

[Each question carries a total of 12 marks. Credit will be given to partially correct answers]

1. Let  $P(x) = x^{10} + a_9x^9 + a_8x^8 + \dots + a_0$  and  $Q(x) = x^{10} + b_9x^9 + b_8x^8 + \dots + b_0$ . Let  $R(x) = P(x) - Q(x)$ . Note that the equation  $R(x) = (a_9 - b_9)x^9 + (a_8 - b_8)x^8 + \dots + (a_0 - b_0)$ . If  $a_9 \neq b_9$ , then  $R(x)$  is of degree 9, then the polynomial  $R(x)$  must have a real root which contradicts the assumption that  $R(x) = P(x) - Q(x) = 0$  has no real solutions. Thus  $a_9 = b_9$ . Let  $S(x) = P(x+1) - Q(x-1)$ . Then

$$S(x) = (x+1)^{10} - (x-1)^{10} + a_9(x+1)^9 - a_9(x-1)^9 + T(x)$$

where  $T(x)$  is polynomial of degree at most 8. Clearly  $a_9[(x+1)^9 - (x-1)^9]$  is of degree at most 8 since on expansion  $x^9$  coefficient cancels out, whereas

$$\begin{aligned}(x+1)^{10} - (x-1)^{10} &= [x^{10} + 10x^9 + A(x)] - [x^{10} - 10x^9 + B(x)] \\ &= 20x^9 + A(x) - B(x)\end{aligned}$$

where  $A(x)$  and  $B(x)$  are polynomials of degree at most 8. Hence  $S(x)$  is of degree exactly equal to 9 hence it must have a real root. Thus  $P(x+1) - Q(x-1)$  has real solution.  $\square$

2. Note that the given inequality can be written as

$$\sqrt{a(a+b)(a+c)} + \sqrt{b(b+c)(b+a)} + \sqrt{c(c+a)(c+b)} \leq 6$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}(a+b+c)^2 - 3(ab+bc+ca) &= a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - ab - bc - ca \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(a-b)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(b-c)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(c-a)^2 \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(a+b+c)^2 \geq 3(ab+bc+ca)$ . Since  $a+b+c=3$ , we have that  $ab+bc+ca \leq 3$ .

### Solution 1:

By Cauchy Schwarz inequality we have that

$$(a+b+c)((3a+bc) + (3b+ca) + (3c+ab)) \geq (\sqrt{a(3a+bc)} + \sqrt{b(3b+ca)} + \sqrt{c(3c+ab)})^2$$

Note that  $a+b+c=3$  and hence  $3a+bc = (a+b+c)a+bc = (a+b)(a+c)$ ,  $3b+ca = (b+c)(b+a)$ , and  $3c+ab = (c+a)(c+b)$ . Thus taking square roots in the above inequality we have

$$\sqrt{3(3a+3b+3c+ab+bc+ca)} \geq \sqrt{a(a+b)(a+c)} + \sqrt{b(b+c)(b+a)} + \sqrt{c(c+a)(c+b)}$$

Note that  $ab+bc+ca \leq 3$ , hence

$$\sqrt{a(a+b)(a+c)} + \sqrt{b(b+c)(b+a)} + \sqrt{c(c+a)(c+b)} \leq \sqrt{3(3 \times 3 + 3)} = \sqrt{36} = 6$$

**Solution 2:** Observe that by AM-GM inequality we have

$$\frac{7a + bc}{2} = \frac{4a + (3a + bc)}{2} \geq \sqrt{4a(3a + bc)}$$

Since  $a+b+c = 3$ ,  $3a+bc = (a+b+c)a+bc = (a+b)(a+c)$ . Hence  $\sqrt{4a(a+b)(a+c)} \leq \frac{1}{2}(7a+bc)$ . We divide both sides by 2 to obtain

$$\sqrt{a(a+b)(a+c)} \leq \frac{1}{4}(7a + bc)$$

Analogously we obtain

$$\sqrt{b(b+c)(b+a)} \leq \frac{1}{4}(7b + ca)$$

$$\sqrt{c(c+a)(c+b)} \leq \frac{1}{4}(7c + ab)$$

Adding all three inequalities we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{a(a+b)(a+c)} + \sqrt{b(b+c)(b+a)} + \sqrt{c(c+a)(c+b)} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4}(7a + bc) + \frac{1}{4}(7b + ca) + \frac{1}{4}(7c + ab) \\ & = \frac{1}{4}(7(a+b+c) + (ab + bc + ca)) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4}(7 \times 3 + 3) = 6 \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is due to the fact that  $a + b + c = 3$  and  $ab + bc + ca \leq 3$ .  $\square$

3. There exists such a function satisfying all conditions. We construct one such function.

We first show how to get an  $f$  such that  $f \not\equiv 0$  but  $f \circ f \equiv 0$ . Let  $A = \{x \in [0, 1] \mid f(x) = 0\}$  and  $B = \{x \in [0, 1] \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$  be the set where  $f$  takes value non zero. Since  $f(f(x))$  is zero for all  $x$ ,  $f(x)$  must take values in  $A$ . If we take  $A = [0, 1/2]$ , we have to ensure that  $f$  is continuous,  $f(x) > 0$  for all  $x > 1/2$  and  $f(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $x$ . To do this we may take  $f$  as a part of a line whose slope is sufficiently small so that  $f(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $x$ . For example we may take  $f(x) = (x - \frac{1}{2})$  for  $x \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . Note that continuity is maintained and  $f \not\equiv 0$  and  $f(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $x$ . Hence  $f \circ f \equiv 0$ .

To do the part where  $f \not\equiv 0$ ,  $f \circ f \not\equiv 0$  but  $f \circ f \circ f \equiv 0$ , we apply the same idea, however the time we increase the slope so that  $f \circ f \not\equiv 0$ . Suppose  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \leq 1/2$  and  $f(x) = k(x - \frac{1}{2})$  for  $x \geq 1/2$  where  $1 < k < 2$ . Then  $f(f(1)) = f(k/2) \neq 0$  as  $\frac{k}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . So  $f \circ f \not\equiv 0$ . To ensure  $f \circ f \circ f \equiv 0$ , we note that  $f$  is a non decreasing function hence it attains maximum at  $x = 1$ . But  $f(f(1)) = f(k/2) = \frac{1}{2}k(k - 1)$  which is less than  $\frac{1}{2}$  as long as we choose sufficiently close to 1. We may choose  $k = \frac{3}{2}$  for example for this purpose. Then  $f(f(1)) = \frac{3}{8} < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $f \circ f$  is always less than  $\frac{1}{2}$  which forces  $f \circ f \circ f \equiv 0$ . So the following functions works:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1/2] \\ \frac{3}{2}(x - \frac{1}{2}) & \text{if } x \in (1/2, 1] \end{cases}$$

$\square$

4. Suppose statement 1 is true but statement 2 is false. Hence  $n^2 + 1$  is prime for finitely many values of  $n$ . Hence there exist  $N > 0$  such that  $n^2 + 1$  is composite for all  $n > N$ . Let  $p(x) = x^4 + 1$ . It is easy to check that  $p(x)$  is irreducible. Note that this implies  $p(x+k)$  is irreducible for any  $k$ . Finally we consider  $p(x+N) = (x+N)^4 + 1$ . Note that statement 2 implies  $p(n+N)$  is prime at least for one natural number  $n$ . Hence suppose  $p(n_0+N)$  is prime for some natural number  $n_0$ , But  $p(n_0+N) = ((n_0+N)^2)^2 + 1$  and  $(n_0+N)^2 > N^2 \geq N$  which is a contradiction to the fact that  $n^2 + 1$  is always composite after  $n > N$ .  $\square$

5. Since  $f$  is bijection let us choose  $a$  such that  $f(a) = 1$ . If  $f(a) < f(a+1) < f(a+2)$  we are done. If not the only other possibility is  $f(a) < f(a+2) < f(a+1)$ . This implies  $f(a+2)$  lies between  $f(a+1)$  and  $f(a)$ . We then consider  $a, a+2, a+4$ . If  $f(a) < f(a+2) < f(a+4)$  we are done. If not the only other possibility is  $f(a) < f(a+4) < f(a+2)$ . Since  $f(a+2) < f(a+1)$ ,  $f(a+4)$  lies between  $f(a+1)$  and  $f(a)$ . We then consider  $a, a+4, a+8$ . If  $f(a) < f(a+4) < f(a+8)$  does not hold, we can again conclude that  $f(a+8)$  lies between  $f(a+1)$  and  $f(a)$  and so on.

Note that there are finitely many natural numbers between  $f(a+1)$  and 1. Since  $f$  is a bijection, only for finitely many values of  $n$ ,  $f(n)$  lies between  $f(a+1)$  and 1. So if we continue in the above fashion we must get a  $n_0$  such that  $f(a) < f(a+2^{n_0}) < f(a+2^{n_0+1})$ .  $\square$

6. Let  $ABCD$  be the initial square. Suppose it is possible to reach a bigger square say  $EFGH$ . Note that it is not necessary that sides of  $EFGH$  is parallel to the grid lines.

We claim that the operations are reversible i.e., if starting from  $P, Q, R, S$  you reach  $P', Q', R', S'$  then you can come back to  $P, Q, R, S$  by some sequence of operations. To prove this, consider two points  $X$  and  $Y$ . Suppose we reflect  $X$  about  $Y$  to get  $X'$ . Then according to the rule we remove  $X$  and add  $X'$ . We can now reflect  $X'$  about  $Y$  to get back  $X$ . We may remove  $X'$  and add  $X$  to get back the two points. Thus such an operation is reversible. Clearly for a sequence of operations, we may apply the reversibility of each operations to get the reversed sequence of operations. This proves our claim.

So there is a sequence of operations by which starting from  $EFGH$  one can reach smaller square  $ABCD$ . Now without loss of generality assume  $E = (0, 0), F = (0, 1), G = (1, 1)$  and  $H = (1, 0)$ .

We now claim that every point that can arise by this operation has integer co-ordinates. This is true because if  $X = (x_1, x_2)$  and  $Y = (y_1, y_2)$ , then  $X' = (2y_1 - x_1, 2y_2 - x_2)$ . So inductively every such point must have integer co-ordinates.

$ABCD$  is smaller square then  $EFGH$ . According to the co-ordinate system that we impose,  $EFGH$  has side length 1. So,  $ABCD$  has side length less than 1. But  $A, B, C, D$  must have all integer co-ordinates. Since any two distinct points having integer co-ordinates is at least 1 distance apart, this gives us a contradiction.  $\square$